

Additional Information
May 28, 2019 Board Meeting

The following additional information was provided regarding the May 28 Board meeting agenda:

Item 5.e, Dual Enrollment Agreement with Whittier Union High School District: This item will be pulled from tonight's meeting agenda and will return at a future meeting.

Items 6.f and 6.g, CSEA and District Reopeners for 2019-20:

1. The background states that during a multiple year agreement, either party shall have the right to reopen the agreement each fiscal year on one article... yet the letters from both CSEA and the District seem to leave open "additional articles.." or "make proposals concerning other articles and issues". Is this a conflict, or is there room for "mutually agreed upon" or "deems necessary and appropriate?" Please explain. **The parties are limited to one article for reopeners per the language of the collective bargaining agreement. However, the District and the exclusive representative have the right to negotiate other article(s) if they mutually agree to do so.**

Item 7.a, Revised Administrative Procedures:

1. AP 6620, Naming Facilities & Properties: Is there a need to include un-naming a facility or building? **Since the administrative procedure includes campus consultation, review by the campus CEO, and Chancellor review, it could also be used to un-name a facility. If necessary, language could be added at the end of the AP to specify that the same steps would be followed.**

Item 7.b, Revised Board Policies:

1. BP 2717, Personal Use of Public Resources: What is "incidental and minimal?" Why not exclude personal purposes PERIOD? **Excluding all personal purposes sets up a situation in which something simple would be a violation of board policy. For example, if a few copies are requested, this is incidental and minimal. Excluding all personal use is more restrictive than necessary and abuse can still be dealt with.**

Item 7.c, New BP/AP 6307, Debt Issuance and Management:

1. Is the requirement of having a Bond Oversight Committee covered under other legislative action? Is that a separate requirement and therefore not include in this Board Policy? **Yes, the Bond Oversight Committee requirement is covered under Proposition 39. BP/AP 6740, Citizens Oversight Committee address the requirements and the provisions for the Bond Oversight Committee remain intact.**

Item 7.e, Board of Trustees Assessment:

1. It says "receive and review." Is this an item where we publicly review, or is this something the Subcommittee should take up and review and come back with recommendations to be discussed publicly? **Yes, this agenda item allows the Board to publically review and discuss the assessment results. In years past, during this discussion the Board has agreed to reconvene the Board Evaluation Subcommittee to evaluate the results and provide recommendations.**
2. Please list members of the Subcommittee again. **The Board Evaluation Subcommittee last convened in August 2017 and at that time the subcommittee included Trustees Bent, Dunsheath, and McClanahan.**